# JOINT PROCESSING OF ENVELOPE ALIGNMENT AND PHASE COMPENSATION FOR ISAR IMAGING

Tao Chen<sup>1</sup>, Guanghu Jin<sup>1</sup>, Zhen Dong<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Electronic Science and Engineering, National University of Defense Technology, 410073 Changsha, P.R. China chentaonudt@163.com, guanghujin@nudt.edu.cn, dongzhen@nudt.edu.cn

Commission VI, WG VI/4

KEY WORDS: Envelope Alignment, Phase Compensation, ISAR, Joint Processing

# **ABSTRACT:**

Range envelope alignment and phase compensation are spilt into two isolated parts in the classical methods of translational motion compensation in Inverse Synthetic Aperture Radar (ISAR) imaging. In classic method of the rotating object imaging, the two reference points of the envelope alignment and the Phase Difference (PD) estimation are probably not the same point, making it difficult to uncouple the coupling term by conducting the correction of Migration Through Resolution Cell (MTRC). In this paper, an improved approach of joint processing which chooses certain scattering point as the sole reference point is proposed to perform with utilizing the Prominent Point Processing (PPP) method. With this end in view, we firstly get the initial image using classical methods from which a certain scattering point can be chose. The envelope alignment and phase compensation using the selected scattering point as the same reference point are subsequently conducted. The keystone transform is thus smoothly applied to further improve imaging quality. Both simulation experiments and real data processing are provided to demonstrate the performance of the proposed method compared with classical method.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The Inverse Synthetic Aperture Radar (ISAR) imaging has been given sustained attention since it has the ability of all-time and all around weather to obtain high-resolution images of moving targets such as airplanes. In the classical ISAR imaging model, the movement of moving target relative to the line of sight (LOS) of the radar can be decomposed into the translational motion and the rotational motion. Unlike the rotational motion can be utilized to realize the azimuth high resolution, the translational motion of the target should be compensated at first since it can lead to misalignment and phase errors.

There are lots of methods proposed for the translational motion compensation which includes range alignment and phase compensation. The traditional method of range alignment is based on the similarity of adjacent profiles by searching the maximum correlation (C.C. Chen, 1980a). Modified means have been proposed to reduce the envelope drift errors or/and the jump errors (Su F L, 1996; Wang J F, 2003a; Yu li, 2007b). Moreover, a minimum entropy method could be applied to deal with the compensation when there is a complex movement for a target (Li X, 1999a). As for the phase compensation, a common method is the Phase Difference (PD) estimation which uses the conjugate multiplication between adjacent echoes to obtain the phase difference. However, by this calculation, cross terms between the signal and the noise may lead to a reduction of signal to noise ratio (Bao Z, 2005). The Prominent Point Processing (PPP) method is conducted to find range cell containing an isolated scatter which is seen as the rotation centre. The phase of the isolated scatter cell is then subtracted from all range cells of the same echoes to complete phase compensation. Prominent point with small clutters, rather than isolated scatter, is more probably to find in practical. This has been showed an efficient way to improve the compensation

effects (Wang G L, 2013b). Though methods of the translational motion compensation are relatively mature, the two steps of the compensation in these methods are spilt into two isolated parts. This leaves difficulty to conduct the keystone transform to eliminate coupling term perfectly.

In this paper, we will discuss why it is difficult to conduct the keystone transform perfectly using the isolated compensation method and then give the joint processing way to solve this problem. The PPP method is utilized as a follow-up step after the conventional compensation (Tor Berger, 2013b) instead of being conducted directly on the raw data to complete the phase correction. The effects of the correction of Migration Through Resolution Cell (MTRC) after conducting the two compensation methods respectively have been compared (Jiang Z L, 2002a).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 makes a statement of the research in this paper, which includes the model of rotating object imaging, obtaining a coarse image through the classic method of the isolated translational motion compensation. Correction of MTRC is also introduced in this section. Section 3 illustrates the joint processing of compensation method. Results of simulation and real data processing are showed in section 4.

# 2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

#### 2.1 Model of Rotating Object Imaging

As depicted in Figure 1, the 2D rotation geometry is given by X and Y axes. The line of the sight of the radar aligns with the Y axis. The ideal model is that the object rotates around the centre at a constant angular velocity  $\omega$ , which is the situation of target after translational motion compensation.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant numbers 61501474.



Figure 1 Geometry of the ISAR imaging

A linear frequency modulated (LFM) signal is usually utilized which can be expressed as:

$$S_{T}(\hat{t},t) = \operatorname{rect}(\hat{t} / T_{p}) \exp\{-j2\pi (f_{c}t + \frac{1}{2}\gamma \hat{t}^{2})\}$$
(1)

where

 $T_p$  = pulse width

rect() is the rectangular function

 $\gamma = chirp rate$ 

^

- $\hat{t}$  = fast time t = slow time
- a 510 11 time
- $f_c$  = carrier frequency

Supposing there are K point scatterers and the distance from the scattering point to the radar is  $R_k(t)$ . After conducting operations including de-chirp, removing the residual video phase and some other approximations, the frequency domain expression of the returned signal is as follows:

$$S_{R}(f_{i},t_{m}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_{k} \operatorname{sinc} \left[ T_{p}(f_{i} + \frac{2\gamma}{c} R_{psk}(t_{m})) \right]$$

$$\cdot \exp \left\{ -j \frac{4\pi f_{c}}{c} R_{psk}(t_{m}) \right\}$$
(2)

where  $R_{psk}(t_m)$  = instantaneous range from the scattering point to the reference point in de-chirping

 $f_i$  = the sum of the frequency term in the de-chirp

Considering the translational motion, then the  $R_{psk}$  in the (2) is:

$$R_{psk} = x_{k,0}\omega t_m + y_{k,0} + \xi(t_m)$$
(3)

Thus a motion compensation and the correction of MTRC are needed to remove the influence of  $\xi(t_m)$  and  $x_{k,0}\omega t_m$ , respectively.

#### 2.2 Translational motion compensation

The shapes of adjacent range profiles vary from the target rotation relative to the radar. Owing to the small rotation angle and the limited target extent, the change is fairly small. Therefore adjacent range profiles possess quite high correlation and their correlation functions have maximum value when they are aligned. As we can see from formula (3), the origin point of the coordinate system does not have migration through resolution cell since the term  $x_{k,0}\omega t_m$  of the point is zero. Thus the origin point can be seen as the reference point of the envelope alignment.

The range cells of each range profile have basically aligned through the envelope alignment of which the precision can reach 1/8 range cell. However, it is still essential for the implementation of the phase correction because even a distance of centimetres can lead to a large phase error. The range profiles after the envelope alignment can be expressed as:

$$S_{R}(f_{i},t_{m}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_{k}T_{p}\operatorname{sinc}\left[T_{p}\left(f_{i} + \frac{2\gamma}{C}\left(y_{k,0} + x_{k,0}\Omega t_{m}\right)\right)\right]$$

$$\cdot \exp\left\{-j\frac{4\pi f_{c}}{C}\left[x_{k,0}\Omega t_{m} + y_{k,0} + \varepsilon(t_{1})\right]\right\}$$
(4)

The Phase Difference method in which adjacent echoes are used to do the conjugate multiplication to remove the initial phase and the maximum likelihood method are adopted to estimate the phase error caused by the translational motion. The phase error difference is shown as

$$\Delta \xi_m = \text{angle}\left[\sum_{p=1}^M s_p(m) s_p^*(m-1)\right]$$
(5)

Taking the scattering point p which can be seen later as the reference point for an instance, we conduct the conjugate operation to extract the phase difference of two echoes between m and m+1. The calculated results are:

$$\Delta \varphi_p = x_{p,0} \omega (t_{m+1} - t_m) + \xi (t_{m+1}) - \xi (t_m)$$
(6)

Then the (6) could be utilized to correct other scattering point through iterative operation. Take point k for instance, the profile is shown as

$$S_{R,k}(f_i, t_m) = A_k T_p \operatorname{sinc} \left[ T_p \left( f_i + \frac{2\gamma}{C} \left( y_{k,0} + x_{k,0} \Omega t_m \right) \right) \right]$$

$$\cdot \exp \left\{ -j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} \left( \left( x_{k,0} - x_{p,0} \right) \Omega t_m + y_{k,0} + \varepsilon(t_1) \right) \right\}$$
(7)

This allows the range profile to contain the only random phase brought by the first echo, and at the same time a linear phase is added. Although it does not affect the focus, it affects the location of the azimuthal imaging. That is to say, the imaging position of other points is referenced by the point p. For convenience of analysis of the correction of MTRC, (7) is transformed into the time domain.

$$\begin{split} \Phi &= -j \frac{4\pi \gamma t_s}{C} \Big( y_{k,0} + x_{k,0} \Omega t_m \Big) \\ &- j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} \Big( \Big( x_{k,0} - x_{p,0} \Big) \Omega t_m + y_{k,0} + \varepsilon(t_1) \Big) \\ &= -j \frac{4\pi \gamma t_s}{C} y_{k,0} - j \frac{4\pi \Big( f_c + \gamma t_s \Big) t_m}{C} x_{k,0} \Omega \\ &- j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} y_{k,0} + j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} x_{p,0} \Omega t_m - j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} \varepsilon(t_1) \end{split}$$
(8)

The keystone transform is introduced in order to uncouple the coupling term between  $t_m$  and  $t_s$ :

$$t'_m = (f_c + \gamma t_s)t_m / f_c \tag{9}$$

As we can see from the formula (10), the coupling term between  $t_m$  and  $t_s$  cannot be uncoupled entirely because of the term of  $x_{p,0} \neq 0$ . To perfectly conduct the keystone transform, measures must be taken.

$$\begin{split} \Phi &= -j \frac{4\pi \gamma t_s}{C} y_{k,0} - j \frac{4\pi f_c t_m}{C} x_{k,0} \Omega \\ &- j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} y_{k,0} + j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} x_{p,0} \Omega \frac{f_c}{f_c + \gamma t_s} t_m^{\prime} - j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} \varepsilon(t_1) \\ &= -j \frac{4\pi \gamma t_s}{C} y_{k,0} - j \frac{4\pi f_c t_m^{\prime}}{C} x_{k,0} \Omega \\ &- j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} y_{k,0} + j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} x_{p,0} \Omega \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma t_s}{f_c} \right) t_m^{\prime} - j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} \varepsilon(t_1) \end{split}$$
(10)

#### 3. JOINT PROCESSING OF MOTION COMPENSATION

Since we have discussed above, the origin point is the reference point of the envelope alignment and it is probably not the same point introduced by the PD method. This means  $x_{p,0} \neq 0$  and thus leaves a difficulty in the correction of MTRC. What we are trying to do next is to make the two reference points unanimous as one.

Through the classical imaging method described above we could acquire a coarse image of the target. The modified method starts by choosing a strong scattering point on this image as the only reference point. By conducting the Fourier transform along the slow time direction, we convert the chosen point back to the range frequency domain in which the data of the point occupies several range cells and exhibits as a slanted line along azimuth. To make the chosen point the reference point of the envelope alignment is equivalent to bring the point as the origin point of the coordinate system. The strategy is executed to obtain the offset of the slanted line, and then realign the whole range profiles. In doing this, the slanted line corresponding to the chosen point turns into a straight line, which means it has been aligned into one range cell. The phase compensation is implemented taken the chosen point as reference point. Since the chosen point is now the origin point, the horizontal ordinate  $x_{p,0} = 0$  and thus the correction of MTRC can be perfectly conducted. The formula (10) is thus turned into the next equation. It is clear that the coupling term between  $t_m$  and  $t_s$  has been uncoupled entirely.

$$\begin{split} \Phi &= -j \frac{4\pi \gamma t_s}{C} y_{k,0} - j \frac{4\pi f_c t'_m}{C} x_{k,0} \Omega - j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} y_{k,0} \\ &- j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} \varepsilon(t_1) \\ &= -j \frac{4\pi \gamma t_s}{C} y_{k,0} - j \frac{4\pi f_c t'_m}{C} x_{k,0} \Omega - j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} y_{k,0} \\ &- j \frac{4\pi f_c}{C} \varepsilon(t_1) \end{split}$$
(11)

In the practical application, the chosen scattering point could be understood as fitting the prominent point model in which a significant scatter point and small clutters exist in the same range bin. Thus the PPP method could be conducted to further explain the phase compensation. The model of prominent point range cell can be expressed as

$$s_{p}(m) = \exp\left\{j(\varphi_{1p0} + \frac{4\pi m x_{p,0}}{\lambda} + \psi_{1p}(m) + \xi(m))\right\}, (12)$$
  
$$m = 0, 1, \dots M - 1$$

where  $\varphi_{1\nu0}$  = the starting phase

 $\psi_{1_p}(m)$  = small phase modulation produced by clutters  $\xi(m)$  = the initial phase

The phase correction can be completed by subtracting the phase in (12) from all the range cells belonged to the same echo. One small problem is the phase term  $\psi_{1p}(m)$  will be introduced in this way, but it is a small variable and has slight influence on the peak.

The complete processing flow of the presented method can be interpreted as follows

- Step 1: use classic method for translational motion to obtain a coarse image.
- Step 2: select a prominent point as the only reference point.
- Step 3: realign the range profiles to eliminate the MTRC of the profile of the selected referenced point.
- Step 4: utilize the PD method or the PPP method to compensate the initial phase

Step 5: obtain image and conduct the correction of MTRC.

# 4. RESULTS OF SIMULATION AND REALDATA PROCESSING

#### 4.1 Experiment Based on simulated data

Simulations are conducted to further illustrate the performance of the proposed method. In the simulation, the carrier frequency is 9GHz and bandwidth is 2GHz, the initial distance of the radar to the target is 200km. We can see that the modified method have a better performance than the method directly conducting MTRC on the coarse image.



Figure 2 (a) image obtained by the classic method; (b) image obtained by conducting MTRC on (a); (c) image obtained by the modified method

### 4.2 Experiment Based on Real data

Real data of the Yak-42 aircraft is processed in a similar way. From Figure 3(c) we can see that scattering points especially those on the nose of the aircraft have been better focused. Figure (4) is the partial enlarged drawing of images obtained by the two methods. It is clear that images on the right side which are obtained by the modified method have a better focus than the two on the left side which are obtained by the classic method.



Figure 3 (a) image obtained by the classic method; (b) image obtained by conducting MTRC on (a); (c) image obtained by the modified method





#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

A joint processing of motion compensation which links the phase correction and envelope alignment has been presented in this paper. The two reference points discussed are turned into the sole point in the modified method, which truly improves the imaging effects. Simulation and real data processing also showed that conducting MTRC on the image produced by the proposed method has a better performance than directly implementing MTRC on the coarse image.

# REFERENCES

C.C. Chen, H.C. Andrews. Target-Motion-Induced Radar Imaging, [J] IEEE Transaction on Aerospace Electronic Systems, 1980, 16(1): 2-14.

Bao Z, Xing M D, Wang T, Radar imaging, Electronic publishing house, Beijing, 2005.

Jiang Z L, Xing M D, Bao Z, correction of migration through resolution cell in ISAR imaging, [J] Journal of Electronics and Information Technology, vol.24, no.1, May 2002

Li X, Liu G S, Ni J L. Autofocusing of ISAR images based on entropy minimization, [J] IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 1999, 35(4): 1240-1251.

Su F L, Cao Z D. Improvements on the range alignment of the motion compensation for ISAR imaging, IEEE International Radar Conference.

Tor Berger, Svein-Erik Hamran, A two-step maximum likelihood algorithm for ISAR motion compensation, IEEE Radar Conference, 2013

Wang J F, Kasilingam D. Global range alignment for ISAR, [J] IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 2003, 39(1): 351 - 357.

Wang G L, Zhou D Y, Bo Y X, Modified prominent point processing in ISAR imaging based on minimum entropy method, in the 6th International Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Design, 2013

Yu li, Li Chong-yi. Global correlation envelope alignment of high precision, APSAR, 2007.